

Beyond the Courtroom TIMELINE

LEGEND:

Legal Developments

Papers/Reports

Activist Activity

Media

Key Events

PHASE

COMMON LAW TORT LITIGATION -ROUND I (2001-2011)

alleging that the companies were responsible for the greenhouse gas emissions that were contributing to climate change, causing natural disasters and other local impacts of the phenomenon to become harsher. As these cases progressed through the court system, judges at all levels made similar decisions: plaintiffs' cases had been dismissed because they raised "political questions" – the issue of climate change – that were not in the judiciary's purview to decide. Ultimately, one of these cases - American Electric Power v. Connecticut - made its way to the U.S. Supreme Court, which ruled that the plaintiffs' claims were displaced by the federal Clean Air Act. These cases mark the beginning of a troubling trend that continues today: plaintiffs' attorneys and public officials filing

Between 2004 and 2013, trial attorneys and public officials filed common law tort litigation against manufacturers,

politically motivated and misguided litigation in an attempt to force manufacturers to pay for the impacts of global climate change, a shared challenge that requires innovation – not litigation – to solve. May 28, 2010: 2001

The U.S. District Court for the North-

ruling that the issues raised in the law-

ern District of California dismisses

California v. General Motors Corp.,

(GWLAP). July 21, 2004:

Eight states, the City of New York and three land trusts (the latter of which

Plaintiffs' attorney and environmen-

Global Warming Legal Action Project

tal activist Matt Pawa founds the

were represented by Matt Pawa) separately sue six power companies claiming that their greenhouse gas emissions constituted a public nuisance.

September 30, 2005: A group of Mississippi residents file a class action lawsuit against several insurance, mortgage lending and fossil fuel companies, alleging that they are responsible for the greenhouse gas

emissions that contribute to climate

change and worsened Hurricane

Katrina, causing the plaintiffs property September 20, 2006: The state of California sues a group of automobile manufacturers for public nuisance, alleging that their vehicles caused a significant source of greenhouse gas emissions and have worsened the effects of climate

change.

The Union of Concerned Scientists publishes "Smoke, Mirrors and Hot Air: How ExxonMobil Uses Big Tobacco's Tactics to Manufacture Uncertainty on Climate Science," alleging that ExxonMobil had worked to "sow doubt" about climate change in public forums.

The U.S. District Court for the South-

ern District of Mississippi dismisses

Comer v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc.

ruling that the plaintiffs' did not have standing and that the issue of climate change must be resolved by the executive and legislative branches of government, not the courts. RECRUITING ALLIES & **DEFINING STRATEGIES (2011-2016)**

suit were "political questions, " which must be addressed by the legislative and executive branches of the federal government February 26, 2008: The native village of Kivalina, Alaska

- represented by Matt Pawa and Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro attorney Steve Berman – files a lawsuit against fossil fuel and power companies for impacts of climate change on the village that it alleges were caused by the companies' greenhouse gas

emissions. June 19, 2009: The state of California appeals the district court's dismissal of California v. General Motors Corp. to the U.S. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

June 24, 2009:

Corp. from consideration by the Ninth Circuit, effectively ending the case in favor of General Motors. October 16, 2009: The U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals partially reverses the district court's dismissal of Comer v. Murphy Oil USA Inc., ruling that the plaintiffs did have

standing and none of their claims

be answered by the court.

February 26, 2010:

present political questions that cannot

California voluntarily withdraws its

appeal of California v. General Motors

The Fifth Circuit grants plaintiffs' petition for a rehearing with the court's entire panel (en banc) in Comer v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc.

banc rehearing in Comer v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc. due to a loss of quorum

because of a judge's recusal, effectively dismissing the plaintiffs' appeal. Thus, the district court's decision granting the defendants' motion to dismiss stands. June 3, 2010: Harvard researcher and historian of

science Naomi Oreskes publishes

Merchants of Doubt: How a Handful

The Fifth Circuit dismisses the en

of Scientists Obscured the Truth on Issues from Tobacco Smoke to Global Warming.

October 26, 2010: The plaintiffs in Comer v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc. file a petition for a writ of mandamus with the U.S. Supreme Court, seeking an order to

overturn the Fifth Circuit's dismissal of their appeal. January 10, 2011: The U.S. Supreme Court <u>denies</u> the plaintiffs' request for a writ of mandamus without comment in Comer

v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc., effectively ending the case.

federal Clean Air Act.

June 20, 2011: The U.S. Supreme Court dismisses American Electric Power v. Connecticut in a unanimous decision in which Justice Ginsberg writes that the

plaintiffs' claims are displaced by the

2

PHASE

The first round of common law tort litigation targeting manufacturers came to an unsuccessful end, and those involved went back to the drawing board. In the coming years, activists, trial attorneys and public officials would join forces to form organizations and coalitions focused on one common goal: determining an effective strategy that would result in manufacturers paying for the impacts of climate change.

September 2011: March 29, 2016: June 2015: Climate attribution scientist Richard Naomi Oreskes, Matt Pawa and Matt Pawa and Union of Concerned Scientists' Peter Frumhoff brief a Heede and Harvard professor Naomi Sharon Eubanks, the attorney who Oreskes found the Climate Accountled the U.S. Department of Justice's group of state attorneys general, urgability Institute. investigation of the tobacco industry ing them to sue energy manufacturers

2012 June 14-15, 2012: Activists, attorneys - including Matt Pawa - and others meet for a summit in La Jolla. California - hosted by the

Climate Accountability Institute and Union of Concerned Scientists - to discuss how to bring lawsuits against energy manufacturers for climate change damages.

The U.S. Ninth Circuit Court of Ap-

peals affirms a lower court's decision

to dismiss Native Village of Kivalina

v. ExxonMobil Corp., ruling that the

plaintiffs' claims were displaced by the

The Climate Accountability Institute's

Richard Heede publishes a paper that

attributes greenhouse gas emissions

1854-2010 to specific fossil fuel and

released into the atmosphere between

2013 May 20, 2013: The U.S. Supreme Court denies plaintiffs' request for a writ of certiorari without comment in Native Village of Kivalina v. ExxonMobil Corp. November 22, 2013:

federal Clean Air Act.

2014 2014 Kert Davies, former research director for Greenpeace, establishes the Climate Investigations Center, an organization focused on gathering internal company documents from energy manufacturers.

The minority staff for the United

States Senate Committee on Envi-

ronment and Public Works publishes

cement manufacturers.

a report detailing how a group of individuals and foundations are driving the "far-left environmental machine," implicating donors such as the Rockefeller Brothers Fund and the Rockefeller Family Fund. January 2015: The Niskanen Center is founded as a libertarian think-thank in Washington

Plaintiffs' attorneys Vic Sher and Matt

County, Sher Edling files a public nui-

sance climate change lawsuit against

ROUND 2 (2016-2020)

potential Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) law-

October 9, 2015:

suits against energy manufacturers. September 16, 2015: InsideClimate News publishes the first article in its "Exxon Knew" series, which was paid for by the Rockefeller Brothers Fund and Rockefeller Family Fund as a part of a coordinated effort to bring litigation against energy companies.

Graduate fellows in the Columbia Uni-

versity School of Journalism's Energy

publish the first in a series of articles on

ExxonMobil in the Los Angeles Times.

and Environment Reporting Project

in the 1990s, $\underline{\text{meet with staff}}$ for the

New York Attorney General to discuss

November 4, 2015: New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman launches an investigation into ExxonMobil, alleging that the company misled investors and the general public about its research into the science of climate change.

holding liable the corporations most responsible for the rapidly changing climate and its impacts on people and property. January 8, 2016: Activists and attorneys host a strategy session at the Rockefeller Family Fund and Rockefeller Brothers Fund

headquarters in New York City to

discuss coordinating tactics for various groups to target energy "industry

GWLAP receives at least \$150,000

from the Rockefeller Brothers Fund

and the Wallace Global Fund for "work

associations." as well as how to coordinate their campaigns targeting state attorneys general and the U.S. Department of Justice. **COMMON LAW TORT LITIGATION –**

over climate change.

March 29, 2016: Al Gore and New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman host the "AGs United For Clean Power Press Conference" where both Massachusetts

Attorney General Maura Healey and

U.S. Virgin Islands Attorney General

opening separate investigations into

Claude Walker announce they are

April 19, 2016:

whether ExxonMobil misled the public on climate change. Massachusetts Attorney General Maura Healey issues a civil investigative demand to ExxonMobil concerning whether the company misled consumers and/or investors with respect to the impact of fossil fuels on climate change and climate change-driven risks to Exxon's business.

May 2016: Harvard University's Emmett Environmental Law Clinic and the Union of Concerned Scientists host a climate workshop to connect "climate science colleagues," "prospective funders," and "senior staff from attorney's general offices" in an off-the-record meeting to discuss climate liability for energy manufacturers.

PHASE

litigation, the lawyers claimed that these new lawsuits were not about greenhouse gas emissions; rather, they were alleging that it was the man-ufacturers' production, sale and marketing of their products that created a public nuisance by contributing to the impacts of climate change – a theory that has so far failed in the courts.

of Baltimore files a public nuisance cli-Edling form a new environmental law of Richmond files a public nuisance mate change lawsuit against several firm, Sher Edling LLP. climate change lawsuit against energy energy manufacturers. manufacturers. 2017 An environmental nonprofit, Resourc-January 25, 2018: July 26, 2018: New York City appeals its climate es Legacy Fund, donates \$432,129 to During an appearance on "The Bernie Sanders Show" podcast, New York Sher Edling. change lawsuit to the U.S. Second City Mayor Bill de Blasio admits that Circuit Court of Appeals. July 17, 2017: the city's public nuisance lawsuit is a On behalf of San Mateo County, the way to "help bring the death knell" to September 26, 2018: City of Imperial Beach, and Marin energy manufacturers. During a panel discussion at Climate

February 2018:

February 8, 2018:

manufacturers.

not replicable."

March 2018:

Represented by Sher Edling, the City

After years of pitching public officials to launch investigations and file common law tort litigation against energy manufacturers, plaintiffs' law firms—particularly Sher Edling LLP and Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP—began bringing a new round of lawsuits in 2017. These law firms tried to convince municipalities, states and attorneys general across the country—from San Francisco, California to Baltimore, Maryland—to hire them to file public nuisance lawsuits against energy producers. In attempting to find a work-around to the legal theories that failed in the first round of common law tort

palities and should pay damages. The Institute for Governance and Sustainable Development launches the Center for Climate Integrity, a public relations campaign dedicated to encouraging entities to file litigation against energy

manufacturers for climate change

August 17, 2017:

August 23, 2017:

New York Times.

September 5, 2017:

September 28, 2017:

October 11, 2017:

With the support and a \$5.6 million foundational grant from Michael Bloomberg, the New York University School of Law establishes the State **Energy and Environmental Impact** Center, which will provide "legal assistance to interested attorneys general on specific administrative, judicial or legislative matters involving clean energy, climate change and environmental interests of regional and national

Naomi Oreskes and fellow Harvard

researcher Geoffrey Supran publish a

study alleging that ExxonMobil misled

vertorials the company placed in The

the public on climate change via ad-

Steve Berman's Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP hires Matt Pawa to co-chair its environmental law September 19, 2017: Represented by Hagens Berman, the City of San Francisco and the City of Oakland file public nuisance climate change lawsuits against energy manufacturers in California state court.

Niskanen Center chief counsel David

Bookbinder writes a blog post cen-

nuisance climate change lawsuit.

The UCLA Emmett Institute on

Climate Change & the Environment

tered on the City of Oakland's public

hosts a talk with Sher Edling's Vic Sher titled, "Suing Over Climate Change Damages: The First Wave of **December 11, 2017:** In an editorial for Vox, the Niskanen Center's General Counsel David

Bookbinder discloses that he had

on the nuisance cases.

2018

"been consulting with lawyers working

December 20, 2017: On behalf of the City and County of Santa Cruz, Sher Edling files another public nuisance climate change lawsuit against energy manufacturers. Resources Legacy Fund donates over \$1.3 million to Sher Edling LLP.

public officials and Matt Pawa suing the company for public nuisance, describing inconsistencies between climate risk disclosures made in their municipal bonds and allegations of past and future damage from climate change in the lawsuits.

ExxonMobil files a petition in a Texas

District Court asking the court to allow

the company to depose the California

January 2018: The Center for Climate Integrity launches the "Pay Up Climate Polluters" campaign, a public relations campaign that encourages cities across the country to file climate lawsuits against energy manufacturers. January 9, 2018:

On behalf of New York City, Hagens

top five investor-owned fossil fuel

companies.

PHASE

2018

2019

Berman files a public nuisance climate change lawsuit against the world's

37 energy manufacturers in California The Niskanen Center receives a state court, alleging that the energy \$200,000 grant "for its climate proproducers contributed to the climate gram" from the Rockefeller Brothers change impacts faced by the munici-

> receives from a victory or settlement of its climate change public nuisance February 20, 2018: Chuck Savitt, Sher Edling's Director of Strategic Client Relationships, begins reaching out to public officials

in Miami Beach to discuss public

nuisance litigation against energy

Legal Newsline reports that the City of

Oakland would have to pay Hagens

Berman 23.5 percent of any award it

February 22, 2018: Cleveland State University professor Kimberly Neuendorf, Ph.D., publishes a rebuttal to Naomi Oreskes and Geoffrey Supran's August 2017 study

on ExxonMobil, finding it "unreliable,

invalid, biased, not generalizable, and

The Center for Climate Integrity works

with the Miami Climate Alliance to rent several billboards across the city in an effort to urge Miami to file climate change litigation against energy man-Both parties in the now-consolidated San Francisco and Oakland lawsuits

present a first-of-its-kind climate sci-

ence "tutorial" to and at the direction

of U.S. District Judge William Alsup.

The City of Boulder, Boulder County

and San Miguel County file a public

nuisance climate change lawsuit against ExxonMobil and Suncor Energy. The Colorado municipalities are represented by David Bookbinder of the Niskanen Center, EarthRights International and the Hannon Law Represented by Hagens Berman,

King County, Washington files a public

nuisance climate change lawsuit

owned energy manufacturers.

sends a letter to Seattle Mayor

May 10, 2018:

against the world's top-five investor

Seattle City Attorney Peter Holmes

Jenny Durkin and Seattle City Council

President Bruce Harrell describing his investigation into potential legal ave-

nues for suing energy manufacturers over climate change. The U.S. Department of Justice files an amicus brief in support of the energy manufacturers in the now-consolidated San Francisco and Oakland June 25, 2018: U.S. District Court Judge William

Alsup for the Northern District of

California dismisses San Francisco

manufacturers, ruling that climate

change "deserves a solution on a

and Oakland's lawsuit against energy

more vast scale than can be supplied by a district judge or jury in a public nuisance case.' July 2, 2018: Represented by Sher Edling, the state of Rhode Island files a public nuisance climate change lawsuit against several energy manufacturers.

U.S. District Court Judge John

Keenan dismisses New York City's

July 19, 2018:

climate change lawsuit from fed-eral court, ruling that "the serious problems caused [by climate change] are not for the judiciary to ameliorate. Global warming and solutions thereto must be addressed by the two other branches of government.'

the environmental division of the New York City Law Department, discusses the city's public nuisance climate change lawsuit, saying, "And really

bottom line- the financial equation for the use of fossil fuels. October 23, 2018: EarthRights International pitches the

City Commission of Fort Lauderdale.

climate change lawsuit at an official

Florida on filing a public nuisance

commission meeting.

Week NYC, Susan Amron, chief of

what we're trying to do is affect the

Represented by Sher Edling, the City

November 14, 2018: Represented by Sher Edling, the Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations files a public nuisance climate change lawsuit against several energy manufacturers in California state court. November 21, 2018: San Francisco and Oakland fire

Hagens Berman and hire Sher Edling

appeal of their public nuisance climate

to represent the municipalities in the

Washington D.C. Attorney General

Karl Racine solicits outside counsel to work on a contingency-fee basis to support an "investigation and potential litigation against ExxonMobil . . . in connection with Exxon's statements or omissions about the effects of its fossil fuel products on climate change.

San Francisco and Oakland appeal

The Center for Climate Integrity

co-hosts an event with UCS titled,

Liable for the Climate Change Harms

Colorado School of Law with speakers

"Holding Fossil Fuel Companies

in Colorado" at the University of

including David Bookbinder and

EarthRights International.

Marco Simons, general counsel for

their climate lawsuit to the U.S. Ninth

March 13, 2019:

April 25, 2019:

Circuit Court of Appeals.

May 3, 2019: The Center for Climate Integrity hosts a climate litigation event titled "Climate Change Science & Litigation: Communities Go to Court to Recover Costs of the Climate Crisis" at the Uni-

school professor Ann Carlson.

May 6, 2019:

March 9, 2020:

state court.

versity of Hawai'i School of Law with Sher Edling's Vic Sher and UCLA law

Fort Lauderdale city attorney Alain Boileau told the Florida Record, "We

have no intention of filing a lawsuit," following lobbying efforts by Institute for Governance & Sustainable Development (IGSD), who was represented by Miami Beach lobbyist, Seth Platt,

of LSN Partners. February 21, 2020: In Hawai'i, the Maui County Council unanimously approves Mayor Victorino's request to authorize outside counsel to file a lawsuit against energy manufacturers for what he called "mounting impacts of climate change and rising sea levels."

May 26, 2020: The Ninth Circuit administers rulings on the California public nuisance cases, moving the San Francisco and Oakland case back to federal court and affirming a lower court's ruling deciding that the San Mateo consolidated cases can proceed in California

Represented by Sher Edling, Honolulu

files a public nuisance climate change

lawsuit against energy manufacturers.

A SHIFTING STRATEGY FOCUSED ON ALLEGED

DECEPTION - ROUND 3 (2018 - PRESENT) After the dismissal of several Phase 3 lawsuits, plaintiffs have shifted tactics and begun focusing their arguments on their allegations of deception, misrepresentation, or wrongdoing, rather than damages or public nuisance. While such allegations have been included in some of the earlier public nuisance suits, such as those filed by Baltimore and Rhode Island, they haven't been the primary claims brought against the defendants. This change in strategy may signal that

ing in an attempt to keep their politically-motivated lawsuits alive. October 24, 2018: September 9, 2020: Then-NYAG Barbara Underwood files A Texas appellate court dismisses Represented by Sher Edling, the City of Charleston, South Carolina sues ExxonMobil's petition seeking to a lawsuit claiming that ExxonMobil misrepresented how it accounts for depose Matt Pawa and the California energy manufacturers for allegedthe potential future costs of climate public officials suing the company for ly misleading the public about the public nuisance, but refers to those impacts of fossil fuels on climate policies to its investors. lawsuits as "an ugly tool" and deemed change, along with public and private

climate litigation proponents are finally accepting that their public nuisance claims do not hold up in court. This being the case, just as they did from Common Law Tort Litigation Round 1 to Common Law Tort Litigation Round 2, they are pivot-

fuel products contribute to climate change, respectively.

er 10, 2019: securities fraud lawsuit against the company, ending a four-plus year

files an amended complaint in its

lawsuit against ExxonMobil, narrowing its case to eliminate the investor de-

ception claim that was tried and failed

in the New York Attorney General's

October 25, 2019: Massachusetts Attorney General Maura Healey sues ExxonMobil, alleging the company deceived investors and consumers about the climate-related risks to its business and how its fossil

New York Supreme Court Justice Barry Ostrager rules in favor of ExxonMobil in the New York Attorney General's inquiry into the company. June 5, 2020: The Massachusetts Attorney General

them "lawfare." June 24, 2020: Minnesota Attorney General Keith Ellison sues energy manufacturers, alleging that they misrepresented the impacts that their products had on

climate change to Minnesota consumers. June 25, 2020: Washington, DC Attorney General Karl Racine sues energy manufacturers, alleging that they misrepresented the impacts that their products had on

climate change to District of Columbia consumers.

alleging that they misrepresented the impacts that their products had on climate change to the public, along with negligent failure to warn and public nuisance claims, among others. September 14, 2020:

nuisance claims, among others.

Represented by Sher Edling,

Delaware Attorney General Kathy

Jennings sues energy manufacturers,

September 10, 2020:

Connecticut Attorney General William Tong sues ExxonMobil for allegedly deceiving the public over the role that

burning fossil fuels play in climate change.

September 2, 2020: The City of Hoboken, New Jersey sues energy manufacturers, alleging they deceived the public over the role that burning fossil fuels play in climate change, along with claims of public

and private nuisance, trespass, and

negligence.